# THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE July 25, 2007

### **Executive Summary**

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Richard Fischer.

Applicant: California Housing Finance Agency

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$3,705,000

The amount of allocation requested is supplemental to the \$2,350,000 of allocation the Project received in January 28, 1998. According to the Project Sponsor, additional allocation is needed to address serious physical deficiencies experienced the past two rainy seasons.

Project Name: Mercy Village Folsom

Project Address: 1100-1190 Duchow Way
Project City, County, Zip Code: Folsom, Sacramento, 95630

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: Mercy Housing California V, a California Limited

Partnership (Mercy Properties, Inc. and National Equity

Fund)

**Principals**: Dick Banks, Lillian Murphy, Jan Graf, Valerie Agostino,

Kathleen Morris, Lisa Celania for Mercy Properties, Inc. and Joe Hagan, Karen Przypyszny, Rick Gentry, Judy Schneider, Peter Harrison, Darrell Hubbard, Kevin Boes, Mark Siranovic, Ed Lam, Tom Flanagan, Colleen Mulcahy, Sue Ann Reed for National Equity Fund.

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

**Underwriter**: Not applicable

**Credit Enhancement Provider**: California Housing Finance Agency

**Private Placement Purchaser**: Not applicable **TEFRA Hearing**: August 18, 2006

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

**State Ceiling Pool:** General

**Total Number of Units:** 80, plus 1 manager unit

**Type:** Acquisition and Rehabilitation

**Type of Units:** Family, Special Needs

**Description of Public Benefits:** 

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

100% (80 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

**Unit Mix:** Studio, 1-, and 2-bedrooms

**Term of Restrictions:** 55 years

Estimated To	otal Development Cost:	\$5.850.537
Esimaicu 10	nai Develobineni Cosi.	92,020,227

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 51,872 (\$4,149,740/80 units)

Estimated per Unit Cost: \$ 73,131 (\$5,850,537/80 units)

Allocation per Unit: \$ 46,312 (\$3,705,000/80 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 46,312 (\$3,705,000/80 restricted units)

<b>Sources of Funds:</b>	Construction	Permanent
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$3,705,000	\$2,365,000
Developer Equity	\$ 0	\$ 214,831
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 176,494	\$ 740,000
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 100,000	\$2,530,706
NEF	\$ 150,000	\$ 0
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$1,000,000	<u>\$ 0</u>
Total Sources	\$5,131,494	\$5,850,537
Uses of Funds:		
Acquisition Cost	\$ 0	
On-Site & Off-Site Costs	\$ 125,235	
Hard Construction Costs	\$4,024,505	
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 251,414	
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 0	
Developer Fee	\$ 740,043	
Relocation	\$ 50,000	
Cost of Issuance	\$ 0	
Capitalized Interest	\$ 228,510	
Other Soft Costs (Marketing, etc.)	\$ 430,830	
Total Uses	\$5.850.537	

#### **Legal Questionnaire:**

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 75 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$3,705,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

#### ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project	20	20	NA
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Mixed Income Project		-	
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
Gross Rents	5	5	0
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	10
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Zango i anni ji anni			
Leveraging	10	10	10
Leveluging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Community Revitanzation Area	13	13	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenines	10	10	10
Sustainable Duilding Mathada	o	o	
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	0
New Construction	10	10	
New Construction	10	10	0
Nagativa Dainta	NI A	NI A	NI A
Negative Points	NA	NA	NA
Total Dainta	120	100	75
Total Points	128	108	75

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.